arXiv:math/0603632v1 [math.FA] 27 Mar 2006

Discrepancy principle for DSM

A.G. Ramm
Mathematics Department, Kansas State University,
Manhattan, KS 66506-2602, USA
ramm@math.ksu.edu

Abstract

Let $Ay = f$, A is a linear operator in a Hilbert space H, $y \perp N(A) := \{u : Au = 0\}$, $R(A) := \{h : h = Au, u \in D(A)\}$ is not closed, $\|f_\delta - f\| \le \delta$. Given f_δ, one wants to construct u_δ such that $\lim_{\delta\to0} \|u_\delta - y\| = 0$. A version of the DSM (dynamical systems method) for finding u_δ consists of solving the problem

$$\dot{u}_\delta(t) = -u_\delta(t) + T^{-1}_{a(t)} A^* f_\delta, \quad u(0) = u_0, \tag{$*$}$$

where $T := A^*A$, $T_a := T + aI$, and $a = a(t) > 0$, $a(t) \searrow 0$ as $t \to \infty$ is suitably chosen. It is proved that $u_\delta := u_\delta(t_\delta)$ has the property $\lim_{\delta\to0} \|u_\delta - y\| = 0$. Here the stopping time t_δ is defined by the discrepancy principle:

$$\int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} a(s) \|Q^{-1}_{a(s)} f_\delta\| ds = c\delta, \tag{$**$}$$

$c \in (1, 2)$ is a constant. Equation $(*)$ defines t_δ uniquely and $\lim_{\delta\to0} t_\delta = \infty$.

Another version of the discrepancy principle is proved in this paper: let a_δ be the solution to the equation $a\|Q_a^{-1} f_\delta\| = c\delta$, and t_δ be the solution to the equation $a(t) = a_\delta$. If $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a^2(t)} = 0$, then $\lim_{\delta\to0} \|u_\delta - y\| = 0$, where $u_\delta := u_\delta(t_\delta)$ and $u_\delta(t)$ solves $(*)$.

1 Introduction.

Let A be a linear bounded operator in a Hilbert space H (or in a Banach space X), and equation

$$Au = f \tag{1}$$

MSC: 47A52, 47D06, 47N40, 65L08, 65L09, 65L20

Key words: dynamical systems method (DSM), ill-posed problems, discrepancy principle, evolution equation, spectral theory

be solvable, possibly non-uniquely. Let $N(A) = N$ and $R(A)$ denote the null-space and the range of A, respectively. Denote by y the (unique) minimal-norm solution to (1), $y \perp N$. Given f_δ, $\|f_\delta - f\| \le \delta$, one wants to find a stable approximation u_δ to y:

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0} \|u_\delta - y\| = 0. \tag{2}$$

There are many ways to do this: variational regularization, quasisolutions, iterative regularization (see, e.g., [1], [3], [8]).

Here we study a version of the dynamical systems method (DSM) for finding u_δ:

$$\dot{u}_\delta(t) = -u_\delta(t) + T^{-1}_{a(t)} A^* f_\delta, \qquad u_\delta(0) = u_0, \tag{3}$$

where $T := A^*A$ is selfadjoint, $T_a := T + aI$, I is the identity operator,

$$0 < a(t); \quad a(t) \searrow 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty; \quad \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\dot{a}}{a} = 0, \quad \dot{a} := \frac{da}{dt}. \tag{4}$$

The element u_δ in (2) is $u_\delta(t_\delta)$, where $u_\delta(t)$ is the solution to (3), and t_δ, the stopping time, is found from the following equation for the unknown t:

$$\int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} a(s) \|Q^{-1}_{a(s)} f_\delta\| ds = c\delta, \qquad c \in (1, 2), \tag{5}$$

where $Q := AA^*$ is selfadjoint, $Q_a = Q + aI$, c is a constant, and $\|f_\delta\| > c\delta$. This equation we call a discrepancy principle. About other versions of discrepancy principles see [2], [3]-[7].

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Assume that* (4) *holds and*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} e^t a(t) \|Q^{-1}_{a(t)} f_\delta\| = \infty. \tag{6}$$

Then equation (5) *has a unique solution* t_δ*,*

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0} t_\delta = \infty, \tag{7}$$

and (2) *holds with* $u_\delta := u_\delta(t_\delta)$*.*

Remark 1. *Assumption* (6) *is always satisfied if* $f_\delta \notin R(A)$*. Indeed,*

$$\lim_{a\to 0} \|aQ_a^{-1} f_\delta\|^2 = \lim_{a\to 0} \int_0^{\|Q\|} \frac{a^2 d(E_\lambda f_\delta, f_\delta)}{(a+\lambda)^2} = \|Pf_E\|^2 > 0,$$

where E_λ *is the resolution of the identity, corresponding to the selfadjoint operator* Q*,* P *is the orthoprojector onto the null space* $N(Q)$ *of* Q*,* $N(Q) = N(AA^*) = N(A^*) := N^*$*, and* $\|P_{N^*} f_\delta\| > 0$ *if* $f_\delta \notin R(A)$*, because* $\overline{R(A)} = (N^*)^\perp$*.*

In Section 2 we prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, which says that (2) holds without assumption (6) but with an extra assumption $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a^2(t)} = 0$.

2 Proofs.

Let $h(t) := a(t)\|A^{-1}_{a(t)} f_\delta\| := a(t)g(t)$.

Lemma 1. *Assume* (6)*. Then*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{e^t h(t)}{\int_0^t e^s h(s)ds} = 1 \tag{8}$$

provided that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\dot{h}}{h} = 0. \tag{9}$$

Proof of Lemma 1. Apply L'Hospital's rule to (8) and conclude that (8) holds if

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{e^t h(t) + e^t \dot{h}}{e^t h(t)} = 1. \tag{10}$$

Relation (10) holds if (9) holds.
Lemma 1 is proved. □

Lemma 2. *Relation* (9) *holds if and only if*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{(h^2)^\bullet}{h^2} = 0, \quad (h^2)^\bullet := \frac{d(h^2)}{dt}. \tag{11}$$

Proof of Lemma 2. We have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{(h^2)^\bullet}{h^2} = 2 \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\dot{h}}{h}. \tag{12}$$

Lemma 2 is proved. □

We have $h = ag$. Therefore

$$\frac{(h^2)^\bullet}{h^2} = \frac{(a^2)^\bullet g^2 + a^2 (g^2)^\bullet}{a^2 g^2} = \frac{(a^2)^\bullet}{a^2} + \frac{(g^2)^\bullet}{g^2}. \tag{13}$$

If (4) holds, then Lemma 2 implies

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{(a^2)^\bullet}{a^2} = 0. \tag{14}$$

Let us prove that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{(g^2)^\bullet}{g^2} = 0. \tag{15}$$

Let E_s be the resolution of the identity of the selfadjoint operator Q. Then

$$g^2(t) = \int_0^b \frac{d\rho(s)}{[s+a(t)]^2}, \quad \rho(s) := (E_s f_\delta, f_\delta), \quad b := \|Q\|, \tag{16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(g^2)^\bullet = -2\dot{a}\int_0^b \frac{d\rho(s)}{[s+a(t)]^3} &= 2\frac{|\dot{a}|}{a}\int_0^b \frac{a(t)d\rho(s)}{[s+a(t)]^3} \\ \leq 2\frac{|\dot{a}(t)|}{a(t)}\int_0^b \frac{d\rho(s)}{[s+a(t)]^2} &= \frac{2|\dot{a}(t)|}{a(t)}g^2(t),\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where we have used the monotone decay of $a(t)$, which implies $-\dot{a} = |\dot{a}|$. Our results remain valid for $b = \infty$ and their proofs are essentially the same.

From (4), (16) and (17) it follows that

$$0 \leq \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{(g^2)^\bullet}{g^2} \leq 2\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{|\dot{a}|}{a} = 0. \tag{18}$$

We have proved the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *If* (4) *holds, then*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{(h^2(t))^\bullet}{h^2(t)} = 0 \tag{19}$$

From Lemmas 1-3 we obtain the following result.

Lemma 4. *If* (4) *and* (6) *hold, then*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\int_0^t e^{-(t-s)}h(s)ds}{h(t)} = 1. \tag{20}$$

Proof of Lemma 4. Relation (20) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{e^t h(t)}{\int_0^t e^s h(s)ds} = 1. \tag{21}$$

By Lemmas 1-3, relation (21) holds if

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\dot{a}}{a} = 0. \tag{22}$$

This relation holds by assumption (4).

Lemma 4 is proved. □

Now let us prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. By Lemma 4 equation (5) for large t can be written as

$$a(t)\|Q_{a(t)}^{-1}f_\delta\| = c\delta[1+o(1)], \qquad t\to\infty. \tag{23}$$

Denote $c[1+o(1)]$ by c_1. If $c\in(1,2)$, then $c_1\in(1,2)$ for sufficiently large t. Fix a sufficiently large t and denote $a(t):=a$. Consider the equation

$$\psi(a) := a^2\|Q_a^{-1}f_\delta\|^2 = \int_0^b \frac{a^2 d\rho(s)}{(a+s)^2} = c_1^2\delta^2. \tag{24}$$

The function $\psi(a)$ is defined on $(0,\infty)$, is monotonically growing and continuous,

$$\psi(+\infty) = \int_0^b d\rho = \|f_\delta\|^2 > c_1^2\delta^2, \quad \psi(+0) = \|Pf_\delta\|^2,$$

where P, $P:=E_0-E_{0-}$, is the orthogonal projection onto the null-space $N(Q)$ of the operator Q, $N(Q)=N(AA^*)=N(A^*):=N^*$. Since $\|f_\delta - f\|\le\delta$, and $f\in R(A)\perp N(A^*)$, we have

$$\|Pf_\delta\| \le \|P(f_\delta - f)\| + \|Pf\| \le \delta, \tag{25}$$

because $\|P\|\le 1$ and $\|Pf\|=0$. Therefore equation (24) has a unique solution $a=a_\delta$, $\lim_{\delta\to0}a_\delta=0$. Consequently, equation (5) has a unique solution t_δ, which can be found from the equation

$$a(t) = a_\delta. \tag{26}$$

Since $a(t)\searrow 0$, we have

$$\lim_{\delta\to0} t_\delta = \infty. \tag{27}$$

Finally, let us prove that the element $u_\delta := u_\delta(t_\delta)$ satisfies relation (2). First we give a simple proof of this relation under an additional assumption (39), see below. Then we give a more complicated proof which does not use any additional assumptions.

We have

$$u_\delta(t) = e^{-t}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)}T_{a(s)}^{-1}A^*f_\delta ds. \tag{28}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_\delta(t)-y\| \le e^{-t}\|u_0\| + \|\int_0^t e^{-(t-s)}T_{a(s)}^{-1}A^*(f_\delta - f)ds\|\\ + \|\int_0^t e^{-(t-s)}T_{a(s)}^{-1}A^*f ds - y\| := j_1+j_2+j_3.\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Clearly, $\lim_{t\to\infty}j_1=0$. We have $\|T_a^{-1}A^*\|\le\frac{1}{2\sqrt{a}}$, $a>0$.

Indeed, $T^{-1}A^* = A^*Q_a^{-1} = UQ^{\frac12}Q_a^{-1}$, where U is an isometry, and we have used the formula $T_a^{-1}A^* = A^*Q_a^{-1}$ and the polar decomposition $A^*=UQ^{\frac12}$. Thus $\|T^{-1}A^*\|\le \|Q^{\frac12}Q_a^{-1}\| = \sup_{\delta>0}\frac{s^{\frac12}}{s+a} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{a}}$. Consequently,

$$j_2 \le \frac{\delta}{2\sqrt{a(t)}}. \tag{30}$$

Furthermore, $f = Ay$, so

$$\|\int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} T^{-1}_{a(s)} A^*Ay ds - y\| \leq \|y\|e^{-t} + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} a(s)\|T^{-1}_{a(s)} y\| ds, \tag{31}$$

and

$$\lim_{a\to 0} a^2 \|T_a^{-1} y\|^2 = \lim_{a\to 0} \int_0^b \frac{a^2 d(F_s y, y)}{(a+s)^2} = \|\mathcal{P}y\|^2 = 0, \tag{32}$$

where F_s is the resolution of the identity corresponding to the selfadjoint operator $T = A^*A$, $\mathcal{P}$ is the orthogonal projection onto $N(T) = N(A)$, and $\mathcal{P}y = 0$ because $y \perp N$.

It follows from (31) and (32) that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} j_3 = 0. \tag{33}$$

Indeed, if

$$\varphi(s) := a(s)\|T^{-1}_{a(s)} y\| \to 0 \text{ as } s \to \infty, \tag{34}$$

then, as follows from Lemma 6 (see below), we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} \varphi(s) ds = 0. \tag{35}$$

If

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0} \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{a(t_\delta)}} = 0, \tag{36}$$

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0} t_\delta = \infty, \tag{37}$$

then (29), (30) and (33) imply relation (2) with $u_\delta = u_\delta(t_\delta)$.

Equations (23) and (26) show that

$$a\|Q_a^{-1} f_\delta\| = c_1\delta, \qquad 1 < c_1 < 2, \quad a := a_\delta. \tag{38}$$

We do not have a proof of the relation (36), and it is not known, in general, if (36) holds without additional assumptions. We can prove (36) under the following additional assumption:

$$y = T^\gamma v, \qquad 0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{2}. \tag{39}$$

Lemma 5. *If* (39) *holds and* $a = a_\delta$ *solves* (38)*, then* (36) *holds.*

Proof of Lemma 5. We have $f = Ay = AT^\gamma v$, and (38) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c_1\delta}{\sqrt{a_\delta}} &\leq \sqrt{a_\delta}\left(\|Q^{-1}_{a_\delta}(f - f_\delta)\| + \|Q^{-1}_{a_\delta} AT^\gamma v\|\right) \\ &\leq \sqrt{a_\delta}\left(\frac{\delta}{a_\delta} + \|AT^{-1}_{a_\delta} T^\gamma v\|\right) = \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{a_\delta}} + \sqrt{a_\delta}\|v\|\ \|T^{-1}_{a_\delta} T^{\frac{1}{2}+\gamma}\|, \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where we have used the obvious estimate $\|Q_a^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{a}$, which holds for any $a > 0$, and the commutation relation $Q_a^{-1}A = AT_a^{-1}$. Therefore

$$(c_1 - 1)\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{a_\delta}} \leq \sqrt{a_\delta}\|v\| \max_{s>0} \frac{s^{\frac{1}{2}+\gamma}}{s + a_\delta} = c\|v\|a_\delta^\gamma \to 0 \text{ as } \delta \to 0, \tag{41}$$

where $c = c(\gamma) > 0$ is a constant, $c_1 > 1$, $\max_{s>0} \frac{s^{\frac{1}{2}+\gamma}}{s+a_\delta} = c(\gamma)a^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}}$, and $c = c(\gamma) = p^p(1-p)^{1-p}$ with $p := \gamma + \frac{1}{2}$.

Lemma 5 is proved. □

However, we want to prove relation (2) with $u_\delta = u_\delta(t_\delta)$ (where t_δ solves (26), and a_δ solves (38)) *without any extra assumptions of the type* (39).

To accomplish this, we propose the following argument.

Equation (38) can be written as

$$c_1\delta = \|aQ_a^{-1}f_\delta\| = \|(a + Q - Q)Q_a^{-1}f_\delta\| = \|QQ_a^{-1}f_\delta - f_\delta\| = \|AT_a^{-1}A^*f_\delta - f_\delta\|. \tag{42}$$

Let us denote $w_\delta := T_{a_\delta}^{-1}A^*f_\delta$, where a_δ is the solution to equation (42). It is proved in [3, p.22] that

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0} \|w_\delta - y\| = 0. \tag{43}$$

By formula (28) the relation

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0} u_\delta(t_\delta) = y \tag{44}$$

holds due to the following lemma.

Lemma 6. *If*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} q(t) = q, \tag{45}$$

then

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)}q(s)ds = q. \tag{46}$$

Proof of Lemma 6. Take $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily small. Using (45), find $t(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$|q(t) - q| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq t(\varepsilon).$$

Then

$$I := |\int_0^t e^{-(t-s)}q(s)dsq| \leq |\int_0^{t(\varepsilon)} e^{-(t-s)}q(s)ds| + |\int_{t(\varepsilon)}^t e^{-(t-s)}q(s)ds - q| := I_1 + I_2. \tag{47}$$

Take $q(\varepsilon)$ sufficiently large, so that

$$I_1 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{for} \quad t > \tau(\varepsilon), \tag{48}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\leq \int_{t(\varepsilon)}^{t} e^{-(t-s)}|q(s)-q|ds + |\int_{t(\varepsilon)}^{t} e^{-(t-s)}dsq - q| \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}(1-e^{-(t-t(\varepsilon))} + |q|e^{-(t-t(\varepsilon))} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{for} \quad t > \tau(\varepsilon).
\end{aligned} \tag{49}
$$

Then

$$I \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{if} \qquad t > \tau(\varepsilon). \tag{50}$$

Lemma 6 is proved. □

Theorem 1 is proved. □

We can simplify our arguments if the following extra assumption on $a(t)$ is made in addition to (4):

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a^2(t)} = 0. \tag{51}$$

Theorem 2. *Assume* (4) *and* (51). *Let* a_δ *solve* (38) *and* t_δ *solve* (26). *Then relation* (2) *holds with* $u_\delta := u_\delta(t_\delta)$, *where* $u_\delta(t)$ *is given in* (28).

Proof of Theorem 2. We have

$$\|u_\delta(t_\delta) - y\| \leq \|u_\delta(t_\delta) - w_\delta(t_\delta)\| + \|w_\delta(t_\delta) - y\|, \tag{52}$$

where $w_\delta(t) := T_{a(t)}^{-1}A^* f_\delta$. It is known ([3], p. 22) that

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0} \|w_\delta(t_\delta) - y\| = 0. \tag{53}$$

Let us prove that

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0} \|u_\delta(t_\delta) - w(t_\delta)\| = 0.$$

We have

$$u_\delta(t) = e^{-t}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} w_\delta(s)ds, \tag{54}$$

and

$$\int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} w_\delta(s)ds = e^{-(t-s)}w_\delta(s)\Big|_0^t - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)}\dot{w}_\delta(s)ds. \tag{55}$$

From (54) and (55) we get

$$\|u_\delta(t) - w_\delta(t)\| \leq ce^{-t} + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)}\|\dot{w}_\delta(s)\|ds. \tag{56}$$

From (54) and Lemma 6 it follows that

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0} \|u_\delta(t_\delta) - w_\delta(t_\delta)\| = 0,$$

provided that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|\dot{w}_\delta(t)\| = 0. \tag{57}$$

To prove (57) we use assumption (51) and the following estimate:

$$\|\dot{w}_\delta(t)\|^2 = \int_0^{\|T\|} \frac{\dot{a}^2(t)}{[a(t)+\lambda]^4} d(F_\lambda A^* f_\delta, A^* f_\delta) \le \frac{\dot{a}^2(t)}{a^4(t)} \|A^* f_\delta\|^2 \underset{t\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0, \tag{58}$$

where F_λ is the resolution of the identity corresponding to the operator T (cf formula (32)). Theorem 2 is proved. $\Box$

Remark 2. *Assumption* (51) *holds, for example, if* $a(t) = \frac{c_0}{(c_1+t)^b}$ *for sufficiently large* t, $t > T$, $c_0, c_1 b > 0$ *are constants,* $b \in (0,1)$, *and* $T > 0$ *is an arbitrary large fixed number. On the initial finite interval* $t \in [0,T]$ *the function* $a(t) > 0$ *may decay arbitrarily fast.*

References

[1] Ivanov, V. , Vasin, V. , Tanana, V. , *Theory of linear ill-posed problems*, Nauka, Moscow, 1978. (In Russian).

[2] Morozov, V. , *Methods of solving incorrectly posed problems*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.

[3] Ramm, A. G. , *Inverse problems*, Springer, New York, 2005.

[4] ______, Discrepancy principle for the dynamical systems method, Communic. in Nonlinear Sci. and Numer. Simulation, 10, N1, (2005), 95-101.

[5] ______, Regularization of ill-posed problems with unbounded operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 271, (2002), 547-550.

[6] ______, On the discrepancy principle, Nonlinear Functional Anal. and Applic., 8, N2, (2003), 307-312.

[7] ______, A new discrepancy principle, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 310, (2005), 342-345.

[8] Tikhonov, A. , Leonov, A. , Yagola, A. , *Nonlinear ill-posed problems*, Chapman and Hall, London, 1998.